

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

_						
	APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
	09/851,734	05	5/08/2001	Dennis Kwan	04939P001 3654	
	5073	7590	06/01/2005		EXAM	INER
	BAKER BOT		.P.		MURPHY, F	RHONDA L
	SUITE 600	, 21, 02			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
DALLAS, TX 75201-2980			2667			

DATE MAILED: 06/01/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		· (x					
	Арр	lication No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		351,734	KWAN, DENNIS	d			
Office Action Summe	Exa	miner	Art Unit				
The MAILING DATE of this as		nda Murphy	2667				
The MAILING DATE of this co Period for Reply	mmunication appears t	on the cover sneet	with the correspondence addre	SS			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PER THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COM - Extensions of time may be available under the pafter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of - If the period for reply specified above is less that - If NO period for reply is specified above, the ma - Failure to reply within the set or extended period Any reply received by the Office later than three earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.	MMUNICATION. provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In this communication. In thirty (30) days, a reply within the viring and the statutory period will apply to reply will, by statute, cause the months after the mailing date of	no event, however, may he statutory minimum of the and will expire SIX (6) Mo he application to become	a reply be timely filed nirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this comm ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.			
Status							
1) Responsive to communication	n(s) filed on 17 Decemb	ber 2004.	•				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.	2b)⊠ This action	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
3) Since this application is in co	atters, prosecution as to the me	erits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims	·						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>18</u> i 5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>13-19</u> is/are allowed	Claim(s) <u>1-17 and 19-31</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>18</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) <u>13-19</u> is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-12 and 20-31</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objecte 8) Claim(s) are subject to		tion requirement.	•				
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected t 10) The drawing(s) filed on Applicant may not request that a	is/are: a) ☐ accepted ny objection to the drawin	g(s) be held in abey	•	1 121(d)			
11) The oath or declaration is obje			= ' ' '	• •			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
application from the Int	ne of: priority documents have priority documents have copies of the priority do ernational Bureau (PC)	e been received. e been received in cuments have been T Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No In received in this National Sta	nge			
* See the attached detailed Office	e action for a list of the	certified copies no	ot received.				
Attachment(s)							
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing R 	eview (PTO-948)		v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date				
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO Paper No(s)/Mail Date			f Informal Patent Application (PTO-15	2)			

Art Unit: 2667

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

This communication is responsive to the amendment filed on December 17,
 Accordingly, claim 18 has been canceled and claims 1-17 and 19-31 are currently pending in this application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-7 and 20-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Collin et al. (US 6,427,178) in view of Weaver (US 6,526,066) and Fung et al. (US 6,243,778).

Regarding claims 1 and 20, Collin teaches a scheduler means (Fig. 5; 506) coupled to the finite state machine (Fig. 5, data pump; col. 5, lines 51-54) and having one or more parameters defining scheduled operations to be performed by the scheduler (col. 3, lines 26-31; col. 5, lines 58-60), wherein the finite state machine is configured to select one or more of the parameters to be used by the scheduler upon transition by the finite state machine from a first state to a second state (col. 5, lines 58-60).

Collin fails to explicitly disclose states and events implemented in hardware and software.

Application/Control Number: 09/851,734

Art Unit: 2667

However, Weaver teaches a finite state machine means (Fig. 3) having a plurality of states interconnected through a plurality of events (Fig. 4), wherein states and events are implemented in hardware (col. 4, lines 28-30). Weaver fails to explicitly disclose certain states and events implemented in software. However, Weaver discloses conditions under which the states exist and the conditions for triggering a transition from one state to another are programmable (col. 3, lines 56-61; col. 4, lines 30-33).

Furthermore, Fung teaches partitioning the states and events between hardware and software (col. 5, lines 34-41).

In view of this, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to modify the system of Collin, by partitioning the states and events between hardware and software, in order to optimize transmission speed and overall system performance.

Regarding claims 2-3 and 21-22, the combined system of Collin, Weaver and Fung teach a finite state machine and scheduler communicatively coupled.

Collin fails to explicitly disclose a look-up table.

However, Weaver teaches a look-up table unit for storing a look-up table (Fig. 5) that comprises logic having a current state value (col. 4, lines 53-56), event values and next state values associated with the current state value (col. 5, lines 28-34).

In view of this, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to modify the system of Collin to incorporate a look-up table for the purpose of obtaining information related to states and events.

Regarding claims 4-5 and 23-24, the combined system of Collin, Weaver and Fung teach a finite state machine and scheduler communicatively coupled.

Art Unit: 2667

Collin fails to explicitly disclose a look-up table comprising predefined actions and an action as a data packet transmission.

However, Weaver teaches a look-up table comprising predefined actions (col. 4, lines 62-67; col. 5, lines 1-13); and one action as the transmission of a data packet (col. 4, lines 46-54; col. 5, lines 61-66).

In view of this, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to modify the system of Collin, by including a look-up table defining actions, so as to provide a table that list actions to be performed by a device.

Regarding claims 6 and 25, Collin further teaches modem actions (modem tasks; col. 5, lines 60-67) relating to data, fax and speakerphone capabilities.

Collin fails to explicitly disclose decoding an action signal. However, it is known in the art that modem actions involves decode logic to decode the action signal, for the purpose of retrieving data that was originally coded.

Regarding claims 7 and 26, Collin teaches a scheduler comprising parameters (col. 3, lines 26-31; executable entities). Furthermore, it is known in the art for parameters to be stored in parameter registers, so as to maintain a list of parameters.

Claims 8-10 and 27-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Collin, Weaver and Fung, in view of Evoy et al. (US 5,953741).
 Regarding claims 8-10 and 27-29, the combined system of Collin, Weaver and Fung teach a scheduler comprising parameter registers.

Application/Control Number: 09/851,734

Art Unit: 2667

Collin, Weaver and Fung fail to explicitly disclose parameter registers receiving new parameters from a host processor environment; parameter register configured to receive a selection signal and a period counter.

However, Evoy teaches new parameters loaded from a host processor environment (col. 11, lines 25-34; stack cache 76 represent new parameters loaded from host processor 40). Evoy also teaches parameter registers configured to receive a selection signal (Fig. 2) from finite state machine means (DMA unit 72, col. 10, lines 64-66; col. 11, lines 6-24), the selection signal identifying which parameter to use when performing the scheduled operations (col. 11, lines 25-42); and a period counter means configured to identify a beginning and an end of a period (col. 8, lines 34-38), the period being defined by a period parameter (col. 8, lines 39-42).

In view of this, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the combined systems, by including new parameters, a selection signal and a period counter, so as to provide flexibility during scheduled operations and record the number of occurrences of a particular event.

Claims 11,12,30 and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Collin, Weaver, Fung and Evoy in view of Weeber (US 6,449,292).
 Regarding claims 11,12,30 and 31, the combined system of Collin, Weaver, Fung, and Envoy teach a scheduler comprising parameter registers.

Collin, Weaver, Fung and Envoy fail to explicitly teach a slot counter and look-up table actions triggered by a signal transmitted to the slot counter.

However, Weeber teaches a slot counter means configured to identify a beginning and an end of each timeslot within a period (col. 4, lines 18-31) and to transmit a signal identifying each timeslot to a look-up table unit (col. 4, lines 31-33; Fig. 3, look-up table unit represented by RAM 20); and a look-up table including one or more

predefined actions (col. 4, lines 28-31), the actions being triggered by the signal transmitted by the slot counter (Fig. 3, signals 4 and 4a).

In view of this, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the combined systems, by incorporating a

at the time the invention was made to modify the combined systems, by incorporating a slot counter and look-up table into the system, so as to record the number of occurrences of a particular event within a given period.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 13-19 are allowed.

Regarding claim 13, prior art fails to disclose moving a protocol stack from a first host environment to a second host environment wherein the states and events are repartitioned between hardware and software in the second host environment based on the second host environment's host processor capabilities.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-12 and 20-31 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 09/851,734

Art Unit: 2667

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rhonda Murphy whose telephone number is (571) 272-3185. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 8:00 - 4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chi Pham can be reached on (571) 272-3179. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Rhonda Murphy Examiner Art Unit 2667 Page 7

rlm

CHI PHAM

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600